

REGULARITY FOR A QUASILINEAR CONTINUOUS CASTING PROBLEM

ARAM L. KARAKHANYAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper study the regularity of continuous casting problem

$$(\#) \quad \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - \mathbf{v} \beta(u)) = 0$$

for prescribed constant velocity $\mathbf{v}$ and enthalpy $\beta(u)$ with jump discontinuity at $u = 0$. We establish the following estimates: local log-Lipschitz $p > 2$ for u (and BMO for ∇u) for two phase, Lipschitz $p > 1$ for one phase and linear growth up-to boundary near the contact points. We also prove that the free boundary is continuous curve in the direction of $\mathbf{v}$ in two spatial dimensions. The proof is based on a delicate argument exploiting Sard's theorem for $W^{2,2+\eta}$, $\eta > 0$ functions and circumventing the lack of comparison principle for the solutions of $(\#)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ be a bounded domain with $C^{1,\alpha}$ boundary. Denote $\mathcal{C}_L = \Omega \times (0, L) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ where $L > 0$ is given. In what follows we denote the points in $\mathcal{C}_L$ by $X = (x, z)$ where $x \in \Omega, z \in (0, L)$.

Consider the steady state continuous casting problem in $\mathcal{C}_L$ with constant convection in the direction of the z -axis

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_p u = \partial_z \beta(u) & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_L \\ u = m^+ & \text{on } \Omega \times \{L\} \\ u = -m^- & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\} \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, L), \end{cases}$$

where m^+, m^- are two positive constants. The quasilinear degenerate elliptic operator

$$\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u), \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

is called the p -laplacian. The boundary data g on the lateral boundary of $\mathcal{C}_L$ is $C^{1,\alpha}$ regular for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and satisfies the compatibility conditions

$$(2) \quad g(x, 0) = -m^-, \quad g(x, L) = m^+ \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

The enthalpy $\beta = \beta(u)$ is defined as follows

$$(3) \quad \beta(s) = \begin{cases} as & \text{if } s < 0, \\ \in [0, \ell] & \text{if } s = 0, \\ as + \ell & \text{if } s > 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $a > 0, \ell > 0$ are given constants. An equivalent definition is $\beta(s) = as + H(s)$, with $H(s)$ being the Heaviside function. The equations of the form

$$(4) \quad \Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}[\mathbf{v} \beta(u)] + f$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35R35, 35J92, 35B65. Keywords: Free boundary regularity, two phase, p -Laplace, Stefan problem, continuous casting.

The author was supported in part by EPSRC grant EP/K024566/1.

have a number of physical applications [2]. One may interpret $u(X)$ as the normalized temperature at a point $X \in \mathcal{C}_L$ whereas f accounts for sources and $\mathbf{v}(X)$ is the velocity of convection. (4) manifests the heat conservation of thermodynamical system with enthalpy $\beta(u)$ when the liquid phase has velocity $\mathbf{v}$. The case of constant convection $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_N$ models the solidification of molten steel extracted at constant speed and is used intensively in steel production. We shall mainly focus on this case.

In order to study the problem mathematically we first formulate it in weak sense. Let f be a given continuous function and $\mathbf{v}$ a Lipschitz continuous vectorfield defined in the cylinder $\mathcal{C}_L$.

Definition 1. Let $\mathbf{v} \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\mathcal{C}_L})$ and f continuous. Then $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{C}_L)$ is said to be a weak solution of (4) in $\mathcal{C}_L$ if

$$(5) \quad - \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} \beta(u) \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} f \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_L).$$

is satisfied. Here β is the maximal monotone graph given by (3).

For given function $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\mathcal{C}_L)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we consider the weak solutions to Dirichlet problem

$$(DP) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}[\mathbf{v}\beta(u)] + f & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_L, \\ u(x, 0) = -m^- & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, L) = m^+ & x \in \Omega, \\ u = g(X) & \text{on } \Sigma = \partial\Omega \times (0, L). \end{cases}$$

Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{v} \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\mathcal{C}_L})$. A pair (u, η) is said to be a weak solution to **DP** if $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{C}_L)$, $\eta \in \beta(u)$, $u = g$ on $\Sigma := \partial\Omega \times (0, L)$, $u(x, 0) = h_0(x)$, $u(x, L) = h_L(x)$, $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathcal{C}_L)$

$$(6) \quad - \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} \eta \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} f \phi.$$

The condition $\mathbf{v} \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\mathcal{C}_L})$ on the convection $\mathbf{v}$ is of technical nature and later will be replaced by a stronger one, namely $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_N$ which corresponds to the continuous casting problem. If $\partial\{u > 0\}$ is C^1 smooth then the following free boundary condition is satisfied

$$(7) \quad [-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u]_-^+ \cdot \nu = -\ell \mathbf{v} \cdot \nu$$

see [18] equation (5).

Remark 3. It is known that there is a unique weak solution of the problem such that $\|u\|_\infty \leq M < \infty$, see Theorems 2 and 3 in [18]. For the classical case $p = 2$ we refer to [16] Theorem 4.14 where it is shown that $u \in C^\alpha(\overline{\mathcal{C}_L})$ provided that $g \in C^\alpha(\partial\mathcal{C}_L)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we formulate our main results.

Theorem 1. Let $2 < p < \infty$ and u be a bounded weak solution to the equation $\Delta_p u = \partial_z(\beta(u))$. Then u is locally in BMO and consequently it is locally log-Lipschitz continuous in $\mathcal{C}_L$.

Notice that in Theorem 1 the weak solution u may change sign. The condition $p > 2$ is dictated by the non-variational structure of this equation. Indeed, as we shall see below (see Remark 8) for $1 < p < 2$ our technique gives only Hölder continuity of u .

It is worthwhile to point out that for one phase problem, $p > 2$, the BMO estimate above implies a linear growth from free boundary, see Lemma 10. However, the same conclusion holds for any $p > 1$ as the next theorem shows.

Theorem 2. *Let u be a non-negative bounded weak solution to (4) and $1 < p < \infty$. Then u grows linearly away from the free boundary $\partial\{u > 0\}$, provided that $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{L}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_L, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $f \in C(\overline{\mathcal{C}_L})$. Furthermore if $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_N$ then u is locally Lipschitz continuous in $\mathcal{C}_L$.*

For $p = 2$ the local regularity for two phase problem is discussed in [10], and [12]. The regularity of free boundary is more delicate, our main result here states that if $N = 2$ and u is a Lipschitz continuous solution of (DP) and $\partial_z u \geq 0$, then the free boundary is a continuous graph in z -direction. In order to prove this result we first show that for suitable boundary data g we have $\partial_z u \geq 0$.

Proposition 4. *Let u be a weak solution of (DP) in the sense of Definition 2, $N = 2 < p < \infty$ and assume further that*

$$(8) \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{g(x, z) - g(x, z_0)}{z - z_0} \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega, z_0 \in [0, L],$$

where $g \in W^{2,2+\eta_0}(\Sigma)$, $\eta_0 > 0$ and Σ is the lateral boundary of $\mathcal{C}_L$. Then u is monotone nondecreasing in z direction.

Finally we formulate our main result concerning the regularity of free boundary in two spatial dimensions.

Theorem 3. *Let u be a nonnegative weak solution to (DP) in $\mathcal{C}_L$, $N = 2, p > 2$ such that u is nondecreasing in z -direction. Let $g \in W^{2,2+\eta_0}(\Sigma)$, $\eta_0 > 0$ where Σ is the lateral boundary of $\mathcal{C}_L$. Then for any subdomain $D \subset \mathcal{C}_L$, $\Gamma(u) = \partial\{u > 0\} \cap D$ is locally a continuous graph in $\mathbf{e}_2$ -direction.*

The main difficulty in the proof is the lack of the ellipticity of the operator Δ_p . We circumvent this difficulty by a delicate argument based on an approximation of u and Sard's theorem for $W^{2,2+\eta}$ functions.

Remark 5. *Notice that if $g \geq 0$, i.e. we consider the one phase problem, then for $g \in C^{1,\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$ we cannot have strict monotone (i.e. strict inequality in (8)) boundary condition (8) because at the free boundary points on the lateral boundary $\Sigma = \partial\Omega \times (0, L)$ one has $\partial_z g = 0$ as $g = 0$ is a minimal value.*

Remark 6. *One can take more general boundary data and consider the following problem*

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}[\mathbf{v}\beta(u)] + f & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_L, \\ u(x, 0) = h_0(x) & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, L) = h_L(x) & x \in \Omega, \\ u = g(X) & \text{on } \Sigma = \partial\Omega \times (0, L). \end{cases}$$

One can extend all of the results to this general case under suitable conditions on $\mathbf{v}$ and f and the boundary data h_0, h_1 . For instance if $f = 0$ and $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_N) \geq 0$ with $\mathbf{v} \in C^{0,1}(\mathcal{C}_L)$ then the free boundary is a continuous curve in the z direction in two spatial dimensions.

The paper is organised as follows: In Section 4 we prove that BMO estimates by tasting u with its p -harmonic replacement in small balls. Theorem 1 will follow as a consequence of Lemma 7. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 2. The argument is based on a dyadic scaling method. The regularity of the free boundary in two spatial dimensions is discussed in Section 6. In Section 7 we prove that nonnegative u solutions have at most linear growth at the contact points where the free boundary touches the fixed boundary.

We shall also sketch how one can extend the results to the uniformly elliptic quasilinear equations in Section 8. The paper also contains the proofs of a version of Caccioppoli type estimate and Hopf's lemma in clouded in Appendix.

3. NOTATIONS

$C_0, C_1, C_D \dots$	generic constants
χ_D	the characteristic function of a set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$
$\overline{\Omega}$	the closure of Ω
$\partial\Omega$	the boundary of Ω
ν	outer unit normal
$X = (x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^N$	$x = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)$
∇u	$\nabla u = (\partial_{x_1} u, \partial_{x_2} u, \dots, \partial_z u), \partial_{X_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}, 1 \leq i \leq N-1, \partial_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$
$(\nabla u)_{x_0, \rho}$	$(\nabla u)_{x_0, \rho} := \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} \nabla u$
$\mathcal{C}_L$	the cylinder $\mathcal{C}_L = \Omega \times (0, L), L > 0$ for some $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$
Σ	lateral boundary of $\mathcal{C}_L$, $\partial\Omega \times (0, L)$
$B_r(X)$	$\{Y \in \mathbb{R}^N : Y - X < r\}$
B_r	$B_r(0)$

4. BMO ESTIMATE

Lemma 7 (Continuity of weak solutions). *Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{C}_L)$ be a solution of (1). Then for $p > 2$, there exist $c > 0$ and $B > 0$ depending only on a, ℓ, p, N and $\sup_{\mathcal{C}_L} |u|$ such that*

$$\phi(r) \leq cr^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{\phi(R)}{R^{\frac{N}{2}}} + B \right),$$

for all $0 < r \leq R \leq \text{dist}(X_0, \partial\mathcal{C}_L)$, where

$$\phi(r) := \sup_{t \leq r} \|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{X_0, t}\|_{L^2(B_t(X_0))}.$$

and $X_0 \in \partial\{u > 0\}$.

In particular, we have that $\nabla u \in BMO(D)$, for any bounded subdomain $D \Subset \mathcal{C}_L$, and thus u is locally log-Lipschitz continuous. Furthermore, $\nabla u \in L^q(D)$ for any $1 < q < \infty$.

Proof. Fix $R \geq r > 0$ and $x_0 \in D$ such that $B_{2R}(x_0) \Subset D$. Let v be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p v = 0 & \text{in } B_{2R}(X_0), \\ v = u & \text{on } \partial B_{2R}(X_0). \end{cases}$$

From Definition 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u (\nabla u - \nabla v) &= \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} \beta(u) (u_z - v_z), \\ \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v (\nabla u - \nabla v) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

After subtracting the second equation from the first one we obtain

$$(10) \quad \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v) (\nabla u - \nabla v) = \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} \beta(u) (u_z - v_z).$$

Recall that by Lemma 5.7 [15] there is a generic constant $\mu > 0$ depending only on p and N such that

$$(11) \quad (|\xi|^{p-2} \xi - |\eta|^{p-2} \eta)(\xi - \eta) \geq \mu \begin{cases} |\xi - \eta|^p & \text{if } p > 2, \\ |\xi - \eta|^2 (|\xi| + |\eta|)^{p-2} & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad \mu \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p'} p'} \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\beta(u)|^{p'} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p'} p'} (\ell + aM)^{p'} 2^N r^N \omega_N. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we get that

$$(13) \quad \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p \leq CR^N$$

where

$$C = \frac{(\ell + aM)^{p'} 2^N \omega_N}{(\mu - \varepsilon^p/p) \varepsilon^{p'} p'}.$$

We infer the estimate

$$(14) \quad \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^2 \leq CR^N,$$

with some tame constant $C > 0$.

As $p > 2$ we have by Hölder's inequality

$$\left(\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \left(\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and (14) follows.

Furthermore, for any $\rho > 0$, we set

$$(\nabla u)_{X_0, \rho} := \int_{B_\rho(X_0)} \nabla u.$$

Then, from Hölder's inequality we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} |(\nabla v)_{X_0,r} - (\nabla u)_{X_0,r}|^2 &\leq \left(\int_{B_r(X_0)} |\nabla v - \nabla u| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{B_r(X_0)} |\nabla v - \nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We would also need the following estimate for a p -harmonic function v : there is $\alpha > 0$ such that for all balls $B_{2R}(X_0) \Subset D$, with $R \geq r > 0$, there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that the following Campanato type estimate is valid

$$(16) \quad \int_{B_r(X_0)} |\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{X_0,r}|^2 \leq c \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^\alpha \int_{B_R(X_0)} |\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{X_0,R}|^2.$$

See [5] Theorem 5.1.

Denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(B_r(X_0))} = \|\cdot\|_{2,r}$, then, using (15), we obtain

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{X_0,r}\|_{2,r} &\leq \|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{2,r} + \|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{X_0,r}\|_{2,r} \\ &\quad + \|(\nabla v)_{X_0,r} - (\nabla u)_{X_0,r}\|_{2,r} \\ &\leq 2\|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{2,r} + \|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{X_0,r}\|_{2,r} \\ &\leq 2\|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{2,r} + C \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}} \|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{X_0,R}\|_{2,R}, \end{aligned}$$

where, in order to get (17), we used Campanato type estimate (16).

From the triangle inequality for L^2 norm we have

$$\|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{X_0,R}\|_{2,R} \leq 2\|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{2,R} + \|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{X_0,R}\|_{2,R},$$

and so, combining this with (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{X_0,r}\|_{2,r} &\leq 2\|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{2,r} \\ &\quad + C \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}} [2\|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{2,R} + \|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{X_0,R}\|_{2,R}] \\ &\leq C \left\{ \|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{2,R} + \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}} \|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{X_0,R}\|_{2,R} \right\} \\ &\leq A \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}} \|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{X_0,R}\|_{2,R} + BR^{\frac{N}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some tame positive constants A and B .

Introduce

$$\phi(r) := \sup_{t \leq r} \|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{X_0,t}\|_{2,t},$$

then the former inequality can be rewritten as

$$\phi(r) \leq A \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}} \phi(R) + BR^{\frac{N}{2}},$$

with some positive constants A, B, α . Applying Lemma 2.1 from [8] Chapter 3, we conclude that there exist $R_0 > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\phi(r) \leq cr^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{\phi(R)}{R^{\frac{N}{2}}} + B \right),$$

for all $r \leq R \leq R_0$, and hence

$$\int_{B_r(X_0)} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{X_0, r}|^2 \leq Cr^N,$$

for some tame constant $C > 0$. This shows that ∇u is locally BMO. The log-Lipschitz estimate for $p > 2$ now follows from [4] Theorem 3. $\square$

Remark 8. *If $1 < p < 2$ then the comparison of u with its p -harmonic replacement in a small ball $B_{2R}(X_0)$ centred at a free boundary point, renders the following inequality*

$$\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} \frac{|\nabla u - \nabla v|^2}{(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)^{2-p}} \lesssim \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |u_z - v_z| \leq \left(\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |B_{2R}|^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality we infer the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p &\leq \left(\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} \frac{|\nabla u - \nabla v|^2}{(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)^{2-p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} (|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)^p \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\left(\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |B_{2R}|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

which yields the estimate

$$\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p \lesssim |B_{2R}|^{p-1} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(X_0)} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{2-p}.$$

Then the Caccioppoli type inequality from [14] and the technique above give that u is Hölder continuous.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We show that for any compact set $K \subset\subset \mathcal{C}_L$ there exists a tame constant C , depending on $\text{dist}(K, \partial\mathcal{C}_L)$ such that

$$\sup_{B_{2^{-k-1}}(X)} u \leq \max \left(C2^{-k}, \sup_{B_{2^{-k}}(X)} u \right), \quad \forall X \in K \cap \partial\{u > 0\}.$$

If this inequality is untrue then there exist a sequence of weak solution u_j such that $0 \leq u_j \leq M$ for some fixed constant $M > 0$, a sequence $\{k_j\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, $X_j \in K \cap \partial\{u_j > 0\}$ and there holds

$$(18) \quad \sup_{B_{2^{-k_j-1}}(X)} u_j > \max \left(j2^{-k_j}, \frac{1}{2} \sup_{B_{2^{-k_j}}(X_j)} u_j \right).$$

Consider the scaled functions

$$v_j(X) = \frac{u_j(X_j + 2^{-k_j} X)}{S_j},$$

where $S_j = \sup_{B_{2^{-(k_j+1)}}(X_j)} u_j$. Clearly we have that

$$(19) \quad v_j(0) = 0,$$

Moreover, it follows from (18) that

$$(20) \quad \frac{2^{-k_k}}{S_j} < \frac{1}{j}, \quad \sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} v_j \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 \leq v_j(X) \leq 2, \quad X \in B_1.$$

Since, by assumption, the weak solutions u_j are bounded it follows from (18) that $M > j2^{-k_j}$ implying that $k_j \rightarrow \infty$.

If u solves (4), then from the scale invariance properties of Δ_p it follows that v_j solves the following equation

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v_j|^{p-2} \nabla v_j) &= \frac{2^{-pk_j}}{S_j^{p-1}} (\Delta_p u_j)(X_j + 2^{-k_j} X) \\ &= \left[\frac{2^{-k_j}}{S_j} \right]^{p-1} \operatorname{div}[\beta(v_j) \mathbf{v}(X_j + 2^{-k_j} X)] + f_j \\ &\equiv \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_j + f_j, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_j &= \left[\frac{2^{-k_j}}{S_j} \right]^{p-1} \beta(v_j) \mathbf{v}(X_j + 2^{-k_j} X), \\ f_j &= \frac{2^{-pk_j}}{S_j^{p-1}} f(X_j + 2^{-k_j} X). \end{aligned}$$

From $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{L}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_L, \mathbb{R}^N)$ we obtain, using (20), definition of S_j and (3), the inequality

$$|\mathbf{F}_j| \leq \frac{2^{-k_j}}{S_j} \beta(2) \sup |\mathbf{v}| \leq \frac{M}{j} \beta(2) \sup |\mathbf{v}| \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly we obtain $\sup_{B_1} |f_j(X)| \rightarrow 0$.

From the Caccioppoli inequality (see Appendix) it follows that $\{v_j\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(B_{\frac{3}{4}})$. Furthermore, utilizing (21), (20) and Serrin's theorem for quasilinear divergence form elliptic operators [17], we infer that the sequence $\{v_j\}$ is uniformly Hölder continuous in $B_{3/4}$. Now employing a customary compactness argument and the estimates for $\{\mathbf{F}_j\}$ and $\{f_j\}$, we can extract a subsequence j_m such that $X_{j_m} \rightarrow X_0$, $\{v_{j_m}\} \subset \{v_j\}$ which uniformly converges to some v_0 in $B_{\frac{3}{4}}$ and weakly in $W^{1,p}(B_{\frac{3}{4}})$. Moreover, it follows that

$$-\int |\nabla v_0|^{p-2} \nabla v_0 \nabla \phi \longleftarrow -\int |\nabla v_{j_m}|^{p-2} \nabla v_{j_m} \nabla \phi = \int f_{j_m} \phi - \mathbf{F}_{j_m} \cdot \nabla \phi \longrightarrow 0, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}}).$$

Thus $v_0 \in W^{1,p}(B_{\frac{3}{4}})$ is a nonnegative continuous solution of $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v_0|^{p-2} \nabla v_0) = 0$ in $B_{\frac{3}{4}}$. On the other hand, it follows from uniform convergence $v_{j_m} \rightarrow v_0$ that (19) translates to v_0 and we have $v_0(0) = 0$ and also $\sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} v_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ thanks to (20). However this is in contradiction with the strong maximum principle and the proof of linear growth from the free boundary follows.

Let us take $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}_L$ such that $u(X_0) > 0$ and set $r = \text{dist}(X_0, \partial\{u > 0\})$. Then by linear growth $u(X_0) \leq Cr$ with some tame constant $C > 0$. Consider the scaled function $v(X) = \frac{u(X_0 + rX)}{r}$, $X \in B_1$. Then $v \geq 0$ solves the equation

$$\text{div}(|\nabla v(X)|^{p-2} \nabla v(X)) = \text{div}(\mathbf{F}(X_0 + rX)) + rf(X_0 + rX) \quad \text{in } B_1$$

and $v(0) \leq C$. Here $\mathbf{F}(X) = au(X)e_N \in C^\alpha(B_1)$ by Lemma 7. From the weak Harnack inequality, [17] Theorem 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} v &\leq c_0(v(0) + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + r\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}) \\ &\leq c_0(C + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + r\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}). \end{aligned}$$

From the local gradient estimates [13] we infer that $\sup_{B_{1/4}} |\nabla v| \leq M$ for a tame constant M . In particular $|\nabla v(0)| \leq M$ and rescaling back we infer $|\nabla u(X_0)| \leq M$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

6. REGULARITY OF FREE BOUNDARY, PROOF OF THEOREM 3

6.1. Proof of Proposition 4. We recast Proposition 4 here.

Lemma 9. *Under conditions of Proposition 4 we have $\partial_z u(X) \geq 0$ for all $X \in \{u > 0\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L$.*

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small let us consider the mollified problem

$$(22) \quad \text{div} \left((\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^\varepsilon \right) = a \partial_z (\beta(u^\varepsilon)), \quad X \in \mathcal{C}_L,$$

with boundary condition $u^\varepsilon(X) = g(X)$ on $\partial \mathcal{C}_L$, where $g \in W^{2,2+\eta_0}(\Sigma)$, $\eta_0 > 0$ satisfying (2). The existence of u^ε for each $\varepsilon > 0$ follows from standard penalisation argument for uniformly elliptic equations.

Differentiating the equation $\text{div} \left((\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^\varepsilon \right) = \partial_z u^\varepsilon$ in $\{u^\varepsilon > 0\}$ we get

$$(23) \quad \text{div} \left((\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left[id + (p-2) \frac{\nabla u^\varepsilon \otimes \nabla u^\varepsilon}{|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2} \right] \nabla \partial_z u^\varepsilon \right) = a \partial_z (\partial_z u^\varepsilon).$$

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ the matrix

$$A(x) = (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left[id + (p-2) \frac{\nabla u^\varepsilon \otimes \nabla u^\varepsilon}{|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2} \right]$$

is strictly elliptic. Hence from minimum principle and Lemma 23 it follows that we have

$$\min_{\partial(\{u^\varepsilon > 0\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L)} \partial_z u^\varepsilon = \min_{\{u^\varepsilon > 0\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L} \partial_z u^\varepsilon.$$

Take an arbitrary $\delta > 0$ small and let us show that $\min_{\partial(\{u^\varepsilon > \delta\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L)} \partial_z u^\varepsilon \geq 0$. First, we observe that $u^\varepsilon \in W^{2,2+\eta}(\overline{\{u^\varepsilon > \delta\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L})$ for some $\eta > 0$ which depends on ε and $\|g\|_{W^{2,2+\eta_0}}$. This follows from the global $W^{2,2}$ estimates [7] (via change of variables and odd reflection principle it reduces to the interior estimates thanks to the $C^{1,\alpha}$ smoothness of $\partial\Omega$) for the uniformly elliptic equations and application of Gehring's Lemma, see [8] Theorem 2.1, page 136. From Sard's theorem [6] it

follows that the one dimensional Lebesgue measure of the critical values of u^ε is zero. Consequently, $\partial\{u^\varepsilon > \delta\}$ is a regular curve for a.e. $\delta > 0$ and the trace of u_z^ε is well defined on it.

That said, let us consider the following cases:

- $X_0 \in \partial\{u^\varepsilon > \delta\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L$ then for $(x, z_0) \in \partial\{u > 0\} \cap \overline{\mathcal{C}_L}$ the lower bound follows immediately

$$\liminf_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_0 \\ z > z_0}} \frac{u(x, z) - u(x, z_0)}{z - z_0} \geq 0.$$

- $X_0 \in \partial\{u^\varepsilon > \delta\} \cap (\Omega \times (0, L))$ then on the lateral boundary the tangential derivative agrees with that of g .
- $X_0 \in \overline{\Omega} \times \{L\}$ it follows from Hopf's lemma and reflection principle for $m^+ - u$ across the top of $\mathcal{C}_L$.

Consequently we conclude that $\min_{\partial(\{u^\varepsilon > 0\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L)} \partial_z u^\varepsilon \geq 0$ because $\delta > 0$ was arbitrary small number.

Since the solution u is unique, Remark 3, then $u^\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{C}_L)$ and thus

$$0 \leq \partial_z u(X), \quad X \in \{u > 0\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $N = 2$ and (8) holds, we show that the free boundary is a continuous curve over Ω .

For $x \in \Omega$ introduce the following height functions

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} h^+(x) &= \sup\{z \text{ s.t. } u(x, z) = 0\}, \\ h^-(x) &= \inf\{z \text{ s.t. } u(x, z) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $h^+(x_0) > h^-(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \Omega$ then it follows from $\partial_z u \geq 0$ (see Lemma 9) that the free boundary contains a vertical segment of the form $I_0 = \{x_0\} \times (a, b)$ for some $a < b$. On I_0 we have that $\partial_z u = 0$. On the other hand the free boundary condition (7) is satisfied in the classical sense on I_0 . Hence $|u_x|^{p-2} u_x = 0$ implying

$$(25) \quad u = |\nabla u| = 0 \quad \text{on } I_0$$

since there is a touching ball from $\{u > 0\}$ at the points on $\{x_0\} \times (a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon)$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$ then it follows from Hopf's lemma (see Appendix) that $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ which is in contradiction with (25).

7. BEHAVIOUR OF $\partial\{u > 0\}$ NEAR THE FIXED BOUNDARY

In this section we show that at the contact points $\partial\{u > 0\} \cap \Sigma$ u grows at most linearly and it is contained in Lemma 11. We begin with a simple observation that if u is a weak solution of **DP** with $u_z \geq 0$ then u is a weak solution of the following differential inequality

$$(26) \quad \Delta_p u - a u_z \geq 0.$$

Indeed, for $\zeta \geq 0, \zeta \in C_0^\infty(B)$, with some ball $B \subset \mathcal{C}_L$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad 0 &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \zeta - \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} \beta(u) \zeta_z \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \zeta - \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} a u \zeta_z - \int_{\mathcal{C}_L} \ell \chi_{\{u>0\}} \zeta_z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it is enough to show that $\int_{\mathcal{C}_L} \chi_{\{u>0\}} \zeta_z \leq 0$. Let us choose a sequence $\gamma_k(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma'_k(t) \geq 0, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma_k \rightarrow \chi_{\{t>0\}}$ weak star. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (28) \quad \int \chi_{\{u>0\}} \zeta_z &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \gamma_k(u) \zeta_z \\ &= - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_B \gamma'_k(u) u_z \zeta \\ &= - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_B \gamma'_k(u) (u_z^+ - u_z^-) \zeta \\ &= - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_B \gamma'_k(u) u_z^+ \zeta \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the notation $v^+ = \max(v, 0), v^- = -\min(v, 0)$ and the last line follows from Lemma 9

The next lemma is true in all dimensions $N \geq 2$.

Lemma 10. *Let $p > 2$ and $u \geq 0$ be a weak solution to $\Delta_p u = \partial_z \beta(u)$ in $\mathcal{C}_L$. Then there is a constant $C_0 > 0$ depending only on ℓ, a, N, p and $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C}_L)}$ such that*

$$|\nabla u(X)| \leq C_0 \quad \forall X \in \partial\{u > 0\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L.$$

Proof. Let $p > 2$ then if $X \in \partial\{u > 0\} \cap \mathcal{C}_L$ then $d(X) = \text{dist}(X, \partial\mathcal{C}_L) > 0$. For $r < \frac{d(X)}{2}$

$$(29) \quad \int_{B_r(X)} \left| \nabla u - \oint_{B_r(X)} \nabla u \right|^2 \leq C r^N$$

where C depends on $a, \ell, p, \|u\|_\infty$ and N , see Lemma 7.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small, for $\rho > \varepsilon$ and $X_0 \in \partial\{u > 0\}, B_{2\rho}(X_0) \subset \mathcal{C}_L$ we have

$$(30) \quad \frac{1}{\rho^N} \int_{B_\rho(X_0)} u = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B_\varepsilon(X_0)} u + \int_\varepsilon^\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{t^N} \int_{B_t(X_0)} u \right) dt.$$

From the log-Lipschitz continuity of u it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{B_\varepsilon(X_0)} u = 0$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(31) \quad \frac{1}{\rho^N} \int_{B_\rho(X_0)} u &= \int_0^\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{t^N} \int_{B_t(X_0)} u \right) dt \\
&= \int_0^\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{B_t(X_0)} u(tX) dX \right) dt \\
&= \int_0^\rho \left(\frac{1}{t^N} \int_{B_t(X_0)} \nabla u(x) \frac{X}{|X|} dX \right) dt = \\
&= \int_0^\rho \left(\frac{1}{t^N} \int_{B_t(X_0)} \left[\nabla u(X) - \oint_{B_t(X_0)} \nabla u \right] \frac{X}{|X|} dX \right) dt \\
&\leq \int_0^\rho \left(\frac{1}{t^N} \int_{B_t(X_0)} \left| \nabla u(X) - \oint_{B_t(X_0)} \nabla u \right| dX \right) dt \\
&\leq C\rho
\end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from (29). It remains to apply Harnack's inequality in order to finish the proof. Let $v(X) = \frac{u(X_0 + \rho X)}{\rho}$, $X \in B_1$ then $\Delta_p v(X) = \partial_z(\beta(u(X_0 + \rho X)))$ or equivalently

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v - \ell \chi_{\{u>0\}} \mathbf{e}_N) = a\rho v_z$$

Denote $\mathcal{A}(v, \xi) = |\xi|^{p-2} \xi - \ell \chi_{\{v>0\}}$, $\mathcal{B}(\xi) = a\rho \xi_N$. Thus v solves an equation of the following form $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(v, \nabla v) = \mathcal{B}(\nabla v)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}(v, \xi)| &\leq |\xi|^{p-1} + \ell \\
|\mathcal{B}(\xi)| &\leq \frac{|\xi|^p}{p} + \frac{(a\rho)^{p'}}{p'} \\
\mathcal{A}(v, \xi) \cdot \xi &\geq \frac{p-1}{p} |\xi|^p - \frac{\ell^{p'}}{p'}
\end{aligned}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ satisfy the structural conditions (3.5) in [15]. From the weak Harnack inequality, Corollary 3.10 [15] we infer that

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} u_\rho \leq C \left[\oint_{B_1} u_\rho + \kappa \right]$$

where C depends on p, N and κ depends on p, ℓ, N and a . Now the desired estimate follows from (31). $\square$

Observe that Lemma 10 is stronger than Theorem 2 since the constant C_0 does not depend on the distance of the point X_0 from Σ .

Lemma 11. *Let $u \geq 0$ be as in Theorem 3. There is a constant $\mu > 0$ such that*

$$(32) \quad u(X) \leq \mu |X - X_0|$$

for any $X_0 \in \partial\{u > 0\} \cap \Sigma$.

Proof. Let $X_0 \in \partial\Omega \times (0, L)$, then majorizing $\Delta_p w - aw_z = 0$ in $B_r(X_0) \cap \mathcal{C}_L$ for some $r = \text{diam}\Omega/100$ and $w = u$ on $\partial\mathcal{C}_L \cap B_r(X_0)$ it follows from (26), comparison principle and boundary gradient estimates [13] that $u \leq w \leq C|X - X_0|$ in $B_{\frac{r}{2}}(X_0)$ with tame constant $C > 0$ depending only on $a, \ell, \|g\|_{W^{2,2+\eta_0}}, r, p$. $\square$

8. CONCLUDING REMARKS

When the governing quasilinear equation is uniformly elliptic then the arguments can be considerably simplified. As an example let us consider the following operator [1]: Let $F(t)$ be a function in $C^{2,1}[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} F(0) &= 0, \quad c_0 \leq F'(t) \leq C_0, \\ 0 &\leq F''(t) \frac{C}{1+t}, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants c_0, C_0 . From here we find that $f(\xi) = F(|\xi|^2)$ is convex and the following holds

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma|\xi|^2 &\leq f(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}|\xi|^2, \\ f_\xi(\xi) \cdot \xi &\geq \gamma|\xi|^2, \\ \gamma|\eta|^2 &\leq \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 f(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j} \eta_i \eta_j \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}|\eta|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_\xi = \nabla_\xi f$ and $\gamma > 0$. The quasilinear operator $\mathcal{L}v = \text{div}(f_\xi(\nabla v))$ is now uniformly elliptic and Theorems 1-2 can be extended to the solutions of $\mathcal{L}u = \partial_z(\beta(u))$ with less efforts. Moreover, by differentiating $\mathcal{L}u = au_z$ in z -direction we can see that u_z solves a strictly elliptic operator and hence applying the comparison principle (which can be proved by a standard method discussed in [3]) one can infer that $u_z \geq 0$ provided that (8) and $u \geq 0$ hold.

Notwithstanding its simple form the equation $\Delta_p u = \partial_z(\beta(u))$ differs drastically from its strictly elliptic counterpart. This in particular includes:

- Comparison principle is not known for the p -Laplace structure as opposed to the case $p = 2$, see [3] Lemma 2.1. The reason is that one cannot define the corresponding strictly elliptic adjoint problem and hence Kamin's argument cannot be generalised directly.
- When $p = 2$ then one can deduce that the solution $u \geq 0$ is non-degenerate at the free boundary points by using Biacchi's transformation. This allows to transform the continuous casting problem to an obstacle like problem and apply the techniques developed for the latter for a class of divergence form elliptic equations [11]. This argument fails to work when $p \neq 2$ due to the nonlinear structure of the operator $\Delta_p u$.

It would be interesting to find out whether any of these difficulties can be circumvented which will lead to stronger free boundary regularity.

APPENDIX

8.1. The Caccioppoli inequality.

Lemma 12. *Let u be a weak solution of the equation $\Delta_p u = \partial_z(\beta(u))$ in B_1 . Then there is a constant Γ depending only on $\sup_{B_1} |u|, a, \ell, p$ and N such that*

$$(35) \quad \int_{B_{\frac{3}{4}}} |\nabla u|^p \leq \gamma.$$

Proof.

$$(36) \quad \int |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \zeta = \int \beta(u) \partial_z \zeta$$

Let $\zeta = u\phi^p$ where $\phi \geq 0$ in B_1 , $\phi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$, $\phi = 1$ in $B_{\frac{3}{4}}$. Then we have

$$(37) \quad \int |\nabla u|^p \phi^p + |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u p u \nabla \phi \phi^{p-1} = \int \beta(u) (\partial_z u \phi^p + p u \phi^{p-1} \partial_z \phi).$$

Rearranging the order of integrals and after applying the Hölder inequality we get

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \int |\nabla u|^p \phi^p &\leq \int |\nabla u|^{p-1} p |u| |\nabla \phi| \phi^{p-1} + \int \beta(u) (|\partial_z u| \phi^p + p |u| \phi^{p-1} |\partial_z \phi|) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^{p'}}{p'} \int |\nabla u|^p \phi^p + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p p} \int |u|^p |\nabla \phi|^p + \\ &\quad + \beta(\sup_{B_1} |u|) \left[\frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \int \int |\nabla u|^p \phi^p + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p'} p'} \int \phi^p + p \int |u| \phi^{p-1} |\nabla \phi| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here p' is the conjugate of p . Choosing ε small enough we infer the inequality

$$(39) \quad \int |\nabla u|^p \phi^p \leq \gamma \left[\int |u|^p |\nabla \phi|^p + \int \phi^p + \int |u| \phi^{p-1} |\nabla \phi| \right].$$

where γ depends on $\sup_{B_1} |u|, p, a, \ell$ and N . Now the required estimate follows from the fact that $\phi = 1$ in $B_{\frac{3}{4}}$. $\square$

8.2. Hopf's lemma.

Lemma 13. *Let u be a weak solution of $\Delta_p u - a \partial_z u = 0$ in B_r , such that $u \geq 0$ in B_r and $u(X_0) = 0$ for some $X_0 \in \partial B_r$. Then*

$$\frac{\partial u(X_0)}{\partial \nu} < 0$$

where ν is the unit outer normal at X_0 .

Proof. Let $b = \gamma(e^{-\lambda|X|^2} - e^{-\lambda r^2})$ then (see for instance [9])

$$\Delta_p b = \gamma \lambda e^{-\lambda|X|^2} \left(2\gamma \lambda e^{-\lambda|X|^2} |X| \right)^{p-2} [4\lambda(p-1)|X|^2 - 2(N+p-2)]$$

Hence we have that

$$\Delta_p b - a \partial_z b = 2\gamma \lambda e^{-\lambda|X|^2} \left\{ \left(2\gamma \lambda e^{-\lambda|X|^2} |X| \right)^{p-2} [2\lambda(p-1)|X|^2 - (N+p-2)] + az \right\}$$

if we choose λ sufficiently large, say $\lambda \geq \frac{2(N+p-2)}{p-1}$ and $\gamma = \frac{\inf_{B_r/2} u}{e^{-\lambda r^2/4} - e^{-\lambda r^2}}$ then we infer that $\Delta_p b - a \partial_z b \geq 0$. By comparison principle $b \leq u$ and consequently

$$0 > \frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu} \geq \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}.$$

$\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] H.W. Alt, L.A. Caffarelli, A. Friedman; A free boundary problem for quasilinear elliptic equations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **11** (1984), no. 1, 1–44.
- [2] J. Bear, Dynamics of fluids in porous media, Courier Dover Publications, 1988.
- [3] Chen X., Yi F.: Regularity of the free boundary of a continuous casting problem. *Nonlinear Anal.* **21**, no. 6, 425–438, 1993.
- [4] A. Cianchi: Continuity properties of functions from Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and embedding theorems. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **23** (1996), no. 3, 575–608.
- [5] E. DiBenedetto, J. Manfredi; On the higher integrability of the gradient of weak solutions of certain degenerate elliptic systems. *Amer. J. Math.* **115** (1993), no. 5, 1107–1134.
- [6] A. Figalli; A simple proof of the Morse-Sard theorem in Sobolev spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), no. 10, 3675–3681
- [7] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer, 1998
- [8] M. Giaquinta: *Multiple integrals in calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 105. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983. vii+297 pp.
- [9] A.L. Karakhanyan: Up-to boundary regularity for a singular perturbation problem of p -Laplacian type. *J. Differential Equations* **226** (2006), no. 2, 558–571.
- [10] A.L. Karakhanyan; Optimal regularity for phase transition problems with convection, *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire*, **32** (2015), no. 4, 715–740
- [11] A.L. Karakhanyan; Lipschitz continuity of free boundary in the continuous casting problem with divergence form elliptic equation, *Discrete and Cont. Dynamical Systems*, **36** (2016), no. 1, 261–277
- [12] A.L. Karakhanyan, J-F. Rodrigues; The Stefan problem with constant convection, preprint, available online at <http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aram/p13.pdf>.
- [13] G.M. Lieberman; Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **12** (1988), no. 11, 1203–1219
- [14] G.M. Lieberman; The natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva for elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **16** (1991), no. 2-3, 311–361
- [15] J. Malý, W.P. Ziemer: *Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 51. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. xiv+291 pp.
- [16] J-F. Rodrigues; Variational methods in the Stefan problem. Phase transitions and hysteresis (Montecatini Terme, 1993), 147–212, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1584, Springer, Berlin, 1994
- [17] J. Serrin; Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations. *Acta Math.* **111** 1964 247–302
- [18] J. Urbano; A stationary Stefan problem with convection and nonlinear diffusion. *Portugal. Math.* **52** (1995), no. 4, 481–497

(Aram L. Karakhanyan) MAXWELL INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, JAMES CLERK MAXWELL BUILDING, PETER GUTHRIE TAIT ROAD, EDINBURGH EH9 3FD, UNITED KINGDOM.

E-mail address: `aram.karakhanyan@ed.ac.uk`